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#### Abstract

The major focus of this piece is on the habitats, both undisturbed and degraded, that are found inside the Great Indian Bustard Wildlife Sanctuary, which is located in the Indian state of Maharashtra. Because of the speed with which they react to changes in their natural environment, ants serve as reliable indicators of the presence of disturbance. Ants were gathered using a range of techniques, such as pitfall traps, scented traps, and hand collection methods. The two habitats were quite different from one another in terms of the amount of human disturbance that occurred in each. There were a total of 19 distinct species of ants found in the forest, including those that were found in undisturbed areas as well as those that had been harmed. In the region that was the subject of the study, there were seven species of Myrmicinae, which accounted for thirty-five percent of the total, six species of Formicinae, three species of Pseudomyrmecinae, two species of Ponerinae, which accounted for ten percent, and one species each of Dolichoderinae and Dorylinae (representing 5 percent each). It was revealed that all three species of ants were not present in disturbed habitats; however, the Leptogenyschinesis ant was never seen in a forest environment that had not been disturbed. At the location of the undisturbed forest, the Shannon-Wiener diversity index (H') was much higher than the one at the location of the damaged forest, which was 2.76. (2.46). Between regions of damaged forest and parts of undisturbed forest, there was a considerable variation in the ant population as well as the species mix that was present.


## INTRODUCTION

It is essential to keep in mind that disturbance is not the same thing as habitat change or stress, both of which either lessen the total quantity of resources that are accessible or alter the local climate or physical structure. Increasing human activities such as deforestation, urbanization, agricultural intensification, grazing, and mining have caused substantial damage to the plants and animals that inhabit these terrestrial eco systems around the world (Townsend and Hildrew, 1994). (Andersen 2000; Pickett and White 1985). Because they interact with so many different kinds of plants in so many different ways, ants play a significant role in the terrestrial ecosystems that they inhabit. Ants play an important role in pollination, the spread of
seeds, and the consumption of both leaves and seeds. Aside from Iceland, Greenland, and Antarctica, ants are distributed evenly throughout the surface of the whole world (Holldobler and Wilson, 1990). However, as altitude, height, and latitude increase, the number of distinct species of ants that may be found in a given area decreases.
dryness (Holldobler and Wilson, 1990). (Fowler and Claver, 1991; Farji-Brener and Rug giero, 1994; Samson et al., 1997). Some species of ants have developed symbiotic connections with a wide variety of different creatures, including both invertebrates and vertebrates. These associations may be found in a network. Ants provide protection for insects that create sugar-rich solutions, such as aphids and other homopterans, against their natural enemies, who are most often other insects. Aphids and other homopterans are two examples (Delfino and Buffa 2000). These organisms may create fungal gardens in the ground where they dwell in addition to building their homes in areas such as leaf litter, rotten logs, and the ground beneath rocks, woody branches, or mud. Other possible habitats for these organisms include rotting logs. The organic material is pushed into the soil during the process of gallery development by ants, which in turn enhances the soil's aeration and drainage capacity. Ants build their galleries in the soil (Luque et al. 2002). As a consequence of the fact that ants predominantly consume insects and other tiny animals, these critters have the potential to be employed as a kind of biological control for insect pests
in terrestrial regions. Ants may be found almost everywhere (Suryanto, 1993). Because they are particularly sensitive to changes in their surroundings and to being disturbed, ants have acquired a lot of appeal as indicator species in environmental monitoring owing to the fact that they are very sensitive to these factors (Hoffmann and Andersen 2003). There is a chance that the diversity of ant species may decline as the intensity of grazing increases, particularly among species that live in litter, species that are cryptic, and species that are specialized as predators (Bestelmeyer and Wiens 1996). In addition to this, there is a possibility that there may be significant shifts in the species composition of the population. In spite of this, it seems that certain proportions of certain functional groups are able to tolerate the effects of grazing pressure (Hoffmann 2000). In addition to this, it is very necessary to have a big number of distinct kinds of ants living in a range of environments. Keeping all of this information in mind, our plan is to carry out research on ant populations in the current study area, with the intention of concentrating on both undisturbed and disturbed habitats. The Great Indian Bustard Wildlife Sanctuary is located in the state of Maharashtra in India, namely in the Ahmednagar and Solapur districts. It was named after the Great Indian Bustard bird. In addition to the numerous towns and villages that make up the sanctuary, the area also contains a large city, a network of highways and railways, an airport, reservoirs, agricultural regions, small industrial units, and "islands of forest land." All of these features can be found spread out across the territory. In addition, the Great Indian Bustard, a kind of bird that is very near to going extinct, finds its home in this sanctuary. The Great Indian Bustard is an endangered species. The different ecosystems that comprise the study area are still being impacted by human activity, despite the fact that the sanctuary zone is deteriorating as a direct consequence of such activity. However, at this time there is very little information that can be accessed on the many different types of disturbances, the extents to which they have occurred, or the effects that they have had on the flora and fauna of the region. In the location where the research is being done, there has never been another study just like this one carried out before this specific one.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

The Great Indian Bustard Wildlife Sanctuary may be found in the biogeographical zone that is characterized by the Deccan Peninsula, and its coordinates are between that region and the Arabian Sea. 75.0 degrees of latitude, and 18.0 degrees of longitude. According to Champion and Seth, this protected area is a Southern Tropical Thorn Forest. [Citation needed] (1968). For the purpose of this investigation, two different forest portions with differing degrees of disturbance were divided up into six different places, and these locations were investigated from January to December of 2010.

For the purpose of ant collection, undisturbed regions representative of the region were selected. There was additional consideration given to plots of 110 ha (Nannaj), 100 ha (Nannaj), and 50 ha (Mardi). The Great Indian Bustard chooses to set up its nest in these particular parts of the sanctuary because they are the least disturbed. There is hardly any sign of human habitation in this area. The understory of this forest is filled with a rich diversity of large trees, shrubs, and grasses. The amount of litter that is present on the forest floor is comparable to that of a forest site that has been disturbed. The following three ant plots were selected to represent each of the three sections of disturbed land: 100 hectares (Mardi, Private property), 40 hectares (Mardi), and 90 hectares (Nannaj). These areas have been destroyed by a variety of activities including excessive grazing and agricultural production, mining, deforestation, and forest fires. The natural environment in this region is being negatively affected by human activity. The majority of this ecosystem is made up of grass, shrubs, and a few different tree species, such as neem and glericidia trees. Highways and railways have cut up the environment into smaller and smaller pieces.

## SAMPLLING PROTOCOL

In order to collect the ants from January 2010 to May 2010, a number of different collecting strategies, such as manual collection, pitfall traps, and scented traps, were used. A) The pit-fall traps comprised of a plastic glass container with a capacity of 0.5 liters and an entrance that was 12 centimeters in diameter. The container was buried in the ground. B) The pit-fall traps were designed to catch rats. At least one booby trap was set up in each of the five randomly selected quadrates that comprised the one hectare plot at each location. These traps were set up to catch people who were unaware of their presence. Every one of the quadrates measured 20 meters by 20 meters. Ethanol and glycerol were mixed together in glasses that measured 25 milliliters each, and the mixture was swirled. Between the hours of fifteen and seventeen o'clock, the traps were first set, and then collected. Gadagkar et al. were the ones who were responsible for carrying out the study in 1993. (1993). Sugarcane juice to the volume of 25 milliliters was used in lieu of the combination of ethanol and glycerol that was usually used in scent traps. This change was made in order to improve the accuracy of the test. These scent traps were arranged in a manner that was comparable to that of pitfall traps. After baiting the quadrates, the ants in each sample plot were collected by hand for a period of thirty minutes in order to acquire a sample that was representative of all species. By collecting ants in three distinct methods, each of which was carried out in isolation from the others, we were able to limit the likelihood of sampling error. It was necessary to thoroughly clean and preserve the ant specimens in advance of presenting them to the lab in order
to have them identified. This was a necessary precondition. Ants were photographed with a Sony digital camera using taxonomic keys (Bolton, 1994; Holldobler and Wilson, 1990; Mathew and R. N. Tiwari, 2000; Sheela S. 2008) prior to being identified at the species level using a stereo zoom trinocular microscope. The taxonomic keys were developed by Bolton, 1994; Holldobler and Wilson, 1990; Mathew and R. N. Tiwari, 2000; Bolton (1994), Holldobler and Wilson (1990), and Mathew and R. N. Tiwari (2000) were the ones who came up with the taxonomic keys. Both the ShannonWiener and Simpson's diversity indexes were used in order to provide an accurate reading on the species' genetic makeup. Conventional approaches to statistical analysis were used in order to compute the Shannon-Wiener and Simpson's diversity indices (D).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the region that was the focus of the research, a total of 3527 individuals from a total of 20 distinct ant species were recognized as having been present. These individuals were from 14 different genera and six different subfamilies. According to a study that investigated the diversity of species, seven Myrmicinae species accounted for 35 percent of the total, which was followed by six Formicinae species, three Pseudomyrmecinae species, two Ponerinae species, one species from the Dolichoderinae family, one species from the Dorylinae family, and one Dorylinae species. Ant species were collected from both intact and damaged portions of the forest. The undamaged areas of the forest had a total of 19 species of ants, whereas the damaged areas only had 16 species. There were a total of 20 different species of ants that were researched, and out of them, 16 different species, which is about 80 percent, were found in both disturbed and undisturbed woodlands. On the other hand, undisturbed woods were home to three of the species, which accounts for fifteen percent of the total. There have been a total of twenty unique species of ants found; however, only three of those species were discovered in disturbed areas. Anochetus graffei, Meranoplus bicolor, and Polyrhachis tibialis were the names of these three different species. According to the results of this study, the total number of ants counted in areas of forest that lacked human habitation (2298) was much more than the total number of ants counted in areas of forest that had been destroyed (1329). Only one species of insect belongs to the subfamilies Myrmicinae, Formicinae, Pseudomyrmecinae, Ponerinae, Dolichoderinae, and Dorylinae in areas of undisturbed forest. This is true for all of the other subfamilies as well. As a result, they are the subfamilies with the lowest level of diversity. Each of the subfamilies Myrmicinae, Formicinae, Pseudomyrmecinae, Ponerinae, Dolichoderinae, and Dorylinae in disturbed forest zones only include one species of insect. As a result,
they have the lowest level of diversity compared to the other subfamilies. The total number of ant species that can be found in each kind of forest is the same, but the quantity of ants that can be gathered from one type of forest is quite different from the other. At the location in the forest that has not been disturbed, the three species of ants that are found the most often are Monomoriumindicum (9.19 percent), Tapinomamelanocephalum (9 percent), and Camponotiscompressus ( 8.69 percent). On the other hand, at the location in the disturbed forest, the species Paratrechinalongicornis has a frequency of 13.24 percent, making it the most frequent, while Camponotuscompressus has a frequency of 11.73 percent, making it the least common, and Tapinoma has no frequency at all ( 9.55 percent) . At the location of the undisturbed forest, the Shannon-Wiener diversity index ( $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ ) was much higher than the one at the location of the damaged forest, which was 2.76. (2.46). A forest site that has not been disturbed has a Simpson's index (D) value of 0.086, while a forest site that has been destroyed has a value of 0.067 . The compositions and densities of species in these habitats are quite different from one another. It is plausible to assume, in light of these results, that the richness of species, diversity of species, and number of species in the undamaged estuary were much greater than in the degraded forest. This is the result that is brought about not just by the degradation of habitat but also by an increase in the amount of disturbance that is brought about by people. According to research carried out on ants, birds, and butterflies, the species richness and variety of a region both suffer when there is an increase in the total amount of disturbance in that area (Andersen 1995; Blair 1996; Ingalhallikar et al. 2000-2001; Kunte 2000-2001; Pachpor\&Ghodke 2000-2001). Research that has been conducted in a variety of countries all across the world According to the findings of various research, habitat degradation, disturbance, and fragmentation have a detrimental influence on the variety of ant species as well as the quantity of those species. The variety of ant species in undisturbed forests is far higher than in habitats that have been disturbed (Greenslade and Greenslade, 1977; Olson, 1991; Suarez et al., 1998; Vasconcelos, 1999; Watt et al., 2002). The research of Kumar et al. (1997) and Pachpor \& Ghodke (2000-2001) as well as our own results support the hypothesis that a diverse range of ant species are able to thrive in ecosystems that have an abundance of trees. A range of environmental conditions, such as the quantity of canopy cover and the amount of soil litter, may create the ideal habitat for ants. Ants' preferred habitat may be given by several environmental parameters. This is due to the fact that the places that had not been affected had greater levels of habitat variability and complexity than the ones that had been damaged. In disturbed regions, on the other hand, there are less locations for the various kinds of ants to
hide, nest, and seek for food. Myrmicinae found in disturbed environments showed a higher overall relative abundance. This is likely due to the fact that these insects are able to adapt to a broad variety of environmental circumstances and may be discovered in many different habitats all over the globe. According to Bestelmeyer and Wiens (1996) and Andersen (1998), they belong to the Functional Group Generalized Myemecinae (GM) (2000). The same findings were discovered by Savitha, S. et al. in the year 2008. Large quantities of the plant species Paratrechinalongicornis, Solenopsisgeminata, and Tapinomamelanocephalum were found in the degraded forest site. This may be explained by the presence of microhabitats that the aforementioned ant species find to be ideal for their needs. In 2008, researchers Savitha, S. et al. came at the same results as previous studies. Tapinomamelanocephalum is one of the most common species of Dolichodrinae (DD), and it favors warm habitats with plenty of open space. This particular species of ant is very active, hostile, and a significant threat to the survival of the other species found in the colony. That is the basis for this (Suriyapong Y. 2003). Andersen (2000) places Solenopsisgeminata in the Cryptic species functional category. The relative abundance of this species is found to be higher in regions that are more receptive to the arrival of fresh ant swarms (Tschinkel1988; Suarez et al. 1998). It is possible for the richness and abundance of ant species to change based on the canopy cover, the complexity of the ecosystem, and the degree to which it is disturbed, as shown by species diversity indices.

## CONCLUSION

The results of this research lead one to the conclusion that the species richness, abundance, and composition of ant populations in urban areas and wetland habitats are highly different from one another. This conclusion may be reached on the basis of the data of this study. Because of the speed with which they adapt to different conditions in their habitat, ants are especially good indicators because of their versatility. When compared to other kinds of invertebrates, such as spiders and hemipterans, ants proved to be an excellent choice for the role of disturbance indicators (Crist, 2009). As a direct consequence of the advantageous circumstances that they have found there, the population of some species of ants has surged in ecosystems that have been disrupted. These favorable situations include: These ideal circumstances include, among other things, locations for nesting, food supply, and open grounds that may be used for foraging. It is essential to carry out in-depth study on disturbed habitats in order to assess their degree of disturbance, the type of the disturbance, the physicochemical qualities of the soil, the climatic conditions, as well as the presence of non-native plant and animal species.

Table 1. The Pitfall trap (PT), the Scented trap (ST), and the Hand collection technique (HC) were used to capture ants in both undisturbed and disturbed areas of the GIB Wildlife Sanctuary during the months of March and May of 2010.

| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Study Site } \\ \hline \text { Species } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Undisturbed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { (A) } \end{aligned}$ | Disturbed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total(B) | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { Grand } \\ \begin{array}{l} \text { Gotal } \\ (\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}) \end{array} \end{array}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | March |  |  | April |  |  |  | May |  |  |  | March |  |  | April |  |  | May |  |  |  |  |
| Collection Methods | PT | sT | HC | ${ }^{\text {PT }}$ | ST |  | HC | PT | ST | HC |  | $P$ | ST | нС | PT | ST | HC | PT | ST | HC |  |  |
| Myrmecinae |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monomorium indicum | 22 | 34 | 18 | 23 | 29 |  | 17 | 13 | 33 | 13 | 202 | 15 | 13 | 9 | 10 | 15 | 11 | 8 | 12 | 10 | 103 | 305 |
| Monomarium dessructor | 12 | 15 | 14 | 9 | 13 | 3 | 13 | 13 | 11 | 15 | 115 | 15 | 17 | 8 | 13 | 16 | 11 | 12 | 19 | 9 | 120 | 235 |
| Monomorium scabriceps | 22 | 30 | 12 | 20 | 30 |  | 11 | 18 | 26 | 13 | 182 | 11 | 2 | 9 | 8 | 19 | 11 | 10 | 17 | 7 | 114 | 29\% |
| Meranoplus bicolor | 13 | 11 | 16 | 11 | 12 |  | 13 | 10 | 12 | 13 | 111 | - | - |  | . | - | - | - | . |  |  | 111 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Crematogaster } \\ & \text { subnuda } \end{aligned}$ | 10 | 13 | 22 | 13 | 16 |  | 20 | 11 | 14 | 23 | 142 | 14 | 11 | 16 | 12 | 10 | 14 | 6 | 7 | 12 | 102 | 24 |
| Solenopsis geminata | 3 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 4 |  | 8 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 40 | 15 | 21 | 14 | 16 | 18 | 13 | 19 | 25 | 15 | 156 | 19\% |
| Pheidole sp. | 19 | 37 | 16 | 15 | 30 |  | 14 | 13 | 29 | 11 | 184 | 9 | 12 | 6 | 13 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 14 | 7 | 91 | 275 |
| Formicinae |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Camponotus anguisticolis | 14 | 26 | 23 | 11 | 28 |  | 20 | 15 | 23 | 20 | 180 | 11 | 14 | 12 | 9 | 10 | 8 | 10 | 13 | 9 | 96 | 276 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Camponotus } \\ & \text { compressus } \end{aligned}$ | 15 | 29 | 25 | 14 | 27 |  | 22 | 13 | 25 | 21 | 191 | 11 | 14 | 9 | 10 | 13 | 11 | 8 | 10 | 9 | 95 | 286 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Camponotus } \\ & \text { sericeus } \end{aligned}$ | 11 | 11 | 28 | 10 | 10 |  | 28 | 8 | 10 | 25 | 141 | 8 | 10 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 10 | 7 | 70 | 211 |
| Oecophylla smaragdina | - | - | 13 | - |  |  | 12 |  | - | 11 | 36 |  |  | 18 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 15 | 49 | 85 |
| Paratrechina longicornis | 18 | 23 | 9 | 17 | 21 | 1 | 9 | 18 | 20 | 12 | 147 | 17 | 24 | 12 | 19 | 27 | 14 | 21 | 30 | 12 | 176 | 323 |
| Polyahachis tibialis | 7 | 12 | 8 | 4 | 9 |  | 6 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 61 |  | . | - |  |  | - | . |  |  |  | 61 |
| Dolichoderinae |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I melanocephalum | 22 | 33 | 13 | 20 | 30 | 0 | 12 | 19 | 31 | 12 | 192 | 11 | 車 | 12 | 13 | 19 | 10 | 15 | 21 | 11 | 127 | 319 |
| Ponerinae |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leptogenys chinesis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | - | 5 | 2 |  | 4 | 2 |  | 3 | 17 | 17 |
| Anochetus graffei | 2 | 4 |  | 3 | 2 |  |  | 3 | 4 |  | 18 | - | - | - |  | - | . | - | . | . | - | 18 |
| Pseudomyrmecinae |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tetraponera nigra | 7 | 7 | 20 | 6 | 7 |  | 20 | 6 | 3 | 18 | 94 | . | - | 1 |  | . | 3 | - |  |  | 4 | 98 |
| Tetraponeru allaborans | 4 | 5 | 16 | 3 | 5 |  | 17 | 4 | 6 | 17 | ${ }^{77}$ |  | - |  | - | . | . | - | - |  | - | ${ }^{77}$ |
| Tetraponera rufonigra | 4 | 6 | 20 | 4 | 5 |  | 19 | 5 | 1 | 20 | 84 |  |  | 1 |  | - | 1 | - |  |  | 2 | 86 |
| Dorylinae |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dorylus laevigatus |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | - | - | 2 | . | - | 1 | - | - | 4 | , | 8 |
| Total Ants Collected | 1202 | 305 | 279 | 177 | 279 | 29 | 258 | 174 | 254 | 252 | 2198 | 138 | 173 | 141 | 131 | 164 | 143 | 131 | 178 | 130 | 1329 | 3527 |

Table 2. In this research, the amount of ants as well as the different kinds of ants that may be found in both undisturbed and damaged parts of the GIB Wildlife Sanctuary in India are investigated.

| Subfamily | Study site |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Undisturbed | Disturbed |
| Myrmicinae | $7(951)$ | $6(686)$ |
| Formicinae | $6(779)$ | $5(486)$ |
| Pseudomyrmecinae | $3(267)$ | $2(6)$ |
| Ponerinae | $1(18)$ | $1(17)$ |
| Dolichoderinae | $1(204)$ | $1(127)$ |
| Dorylinae | $1 \quad(3)$ | $1(10)$ |
| Species richness | $19(2232)$ | $16(1329)$ |
| Shannon-Wiener <br> index (H') | 2.76 | 2.46 |
| $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{H}}$ | 15.83 | 11.70 |
| Simpson's index (D) | 0.067 | 0.086 |

It should be noted that indicated The numbers included in parentheses contain a significant number of ants.
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